(G. R. S. IX-5]

635-19
C1-2

Previous references.

File No.

191

Serial Nos. 1 2

( )

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for April 1920.

SUBJECT.

Proposal to construct and improve the road to Temple Rock.

In the Bengal Secretariat no 3707 Mut 1885 dated 4 Nov 1885 Rule 4 of the Rules & Orders & Contract in Kam: Secret. no 758 dated 9 August 1907 Principles ~~laying down~~ that the General Principles that a small should not pass ~~have~~ improving a temple, road and other memorials &c and requests that the attention of the municipal authorities may be drawn to this rule.

29.4.20

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

G. R. S. III—1] 1919-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mis)

NOTES.

File No. 635

FILE HEADING.

Visit of the Mangi Sannai to Srirangam.

From The Dy Com^r Trichy

No. 2744 Dated 12. 4. 20 Received 14. 4. 20.

Diary No. 2070	Enclos - Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that there is a strong objection to the Mangi Sannai passing in procession in front of the Srirangam vault and the Sri Ranga Nachiyar Sannai temple, and solicits orders of Govt in the matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Correspondence referred by the Dy Com^r here 2-1 enclosed. A Draft D.O. to him?

RA 14-4-20

14-4-20

14-4-20

Verbal Correspondence held at 4 30 pm in response to a Telephone Dist Sh^r.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Inwr File No. 635 of 1919

Serial No.

The agent of the Sri Sanga mutt produces the following documents in support of his contention that the Margi mutt should not have in its possession before the Sri Sanga mutt the Gangadharaeswara Swami temple

1) An authenticated copy of a sanad dt June 1874 from His Highness the late Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur to Tirumalaraja Lingarajaiya. (ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್ ಅವರು ತಿರುಮಲರಾಜಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಸನದು)

2). Tirumalaraja Urs letter to Amildar of Nagamangala of the same year. (ತಿರುಮಲರಾಜ ಅರಸು ಅವರು ನಾಗಮಂಗಲದ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಪತ್ರ)

3) Mahazar of Panchayet-dars of the three Taluks of Nelamangala, Nagamangala and Bhairavanadurga dt 11.9.37

4) Mahazar of the residents of Srisripura of Bhairavanadurga dt 31.7.39. (from Sub Registrar)

5) An authenticated extract of apptn dt 2.6.66 to the Chief Commr from Revelshy swami.

6) An authenticated copy of another grant to Revelshy swami by the Chief Commr dt 22.10.66.

A brief abstract of each of the above documents is given below

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mor File No. 635 of 1919.

Serial No

1) His Highness having heard that on the Kaelasiah of the Sarvamalt on his return from Hyder passed ~~through~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Inam File No. 635 of 1919.

Serial No.

No. 3 - The Mahazar drawn up on 11. 9. 1839 by the people of the 3 Salus viz Nelamangala Vijagal (Panchalingam) Bhairavamadruga, says that they examined the documents produced on behalf of the Sirisunga Matt and the Puri Matt, and that the Paravanee of Doora Purnaiya and the Letter of Her late Highness the Maharanee ~~[illegible]~~ Corroborated the fact that it is not customary or consistent with the dignity, that a Matt of one persuasion should pass in Note in front of a Matt of another persuasion and that the Puri Matt people ~~[illegible]~~ did not know - which was the entrance to the Matt, hence ~~[illegible]~~ that the Puri Matt ryots should not pass with burdens in front of the Sirisunga Matt or the Nandi-na of the Sirisunga Temple.

2nd) Mahazar of the residents of Sirisunga of Bhairavamadruga, consisting of Brahmans, Sudras, & Mahomedans (dt 31-7-1839) says that at the Kattalai-na of Swamanadra, the Agra of Doorvasamudram and it [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Swamy
Shows the following from the temple
on the hill, down. The deity has all
the brindes & and the agga. Down
the hill, ~~there~~ the agga stays in the
mandap near the Sandanandi temple.
The deity is then put in the car, and
the Sri Sivasungala of the Sivasanga
Mutt and four Vellalas and
Brahmanas have the car conducted,
offer flowers, coconuts & fruits, and
conduct the procession of the deity
& the East of the Mutt ~~and~~ the
Sivasri with all brindes and
Brahmanas from & Sandanandi temple
where Pandaraparje is done and
comes back to the Mutt with all
the brindes.

The agga goes away back to his
Mutt from the mandap where he
was staying. In a few years,
the agga, who is the hereditary
of the temple, goes behind the
car helping the car & procession.
The agga usually goes with his
brindes except in front of the
S. Mutt and temple Mahadwara.
While the Sivasanga Sivasri Brahmans
& four Vellalas stand in front
car, ~~the~~ the agga

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 1585 of 1919.

Serial No.

to Ra Dakshina & the deity with his brothers.

The Mahajan mentions a scene created in Vikaingam by the agents of the Annamanam fair held during the Confirmation in that year. He is said to have carried his brothers against manual and when the Amildar sent word to him not to use them spoke very disrespectfully to him &c. The brothers were then taken away from the agents.

The Lingayets joined together and behaved roughly and

No 5 is the English extract from the Petn registered in the Commr's office of June 1866. Ramchandrow in applying for permission to for erection & his Lingayets & the homage of his devotees in part of the Lingayets and Jangams of Annamanam, and that he was, resisted by the Lingayets in attempting to

that it is usual to for him to go

and prays for permission to have the manual restored.

No 6 is an Enclosure to this letter that his Petn should not be entertained as the Jangams & the affray between the Brahmins and Lingayets by giving the permission against manual

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

make it plain that the mutt has its main entrance towards the main road, and the sketch shows that the adjoining house which the Manager is now unable to visit is exactly opposite the mutt's entrance.

The Dy. Comr. suggests that [illegible] to the ring enclosure and if the inference is to be drawn that the ownership of the mound referred to from one mutt to another can be enforced against a visiting Swami only when the other Swami is in residence at the place and ignores the precedence adopted when the two Swamis visited Mutt. (Mem. F. 624 of 12-13 on the file for Revd person al)

The precedent cited by the Dy. Comr. does not seem to be quite [illegible] in this case for in that case both the mutts belonged to the Sri Vaishnava [illegible] (sect) the same jurisdiction [illegible] but in the present case one is a Brahmana mutt & the other is a non Brahmana mutt & belong to [illegible] sects quite different persuasion.

Further apart from the residence of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[illegible]

[illegible] This must be Rotadi Vanamamalai Swami (illegible)

[illegible] This one is a Vadagalai & the other is a Tenkalai mutt

Serial No.

× 7

of the local Swamijals the passing in procession of a visiting Swami in front of the Mutt where the deities of the Mutt are kept & worshipped and the various duties of the previous Swamis are recited, has also to be considered.

Mysr. File 65 of 1908 Conts. G.O. No. 450-9/M 765-08 9 & 12-12-08 passing orders among others, in para 9, that one Seth of Hubli passing in procession stood No. 87 music in front of a temple belonging to another Seth of Kundagol was not in reference. But this relates to passing in front of a temple & not a Mutt

Abt Borders,

RG

15/4/20

So far as the visit of Sri Muruga Swamy of Swarajpet is concerned, this appears to be the first ~~occasion~~ of the kind.

The Sri Muruga Mutt has not produced any documents in respect of its [illegible].

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mem File No. 635 of 1917

Serial No.

File 2 of 1918

In 1908, petitions were sent on the matter of the Sri Prapanchamatta pointing out the merits of Sri Muppi Prahmi Prapancha. The petns were forwarded to Dr for disposal -

Rest have called for denni officially

As regds the present question I submit a typed note for orders.

A M P 16/4/20

W Peiris

IVUc

In September 1907, the Swamis of Sri Kudli Sringeri Matt and other matts raised some objections to the - - grant of certain Honors to the Sri Murgi Matt. In submitting the matter for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja, the Dewan has written as follows:--

File 405-907-08
Page 2 of Note
H. C

" I gave to the Agents of these matts a long hearing in the Public Offices on the 7th August 1907. The reasons which led to the issue of the Government Order of 28th May 1907 were explained and the original sannads and other documents upon which the Orders were based were shown to them, and it was also pointed out to them that the grant of honors by His Highness the Maharaja in the exercise of his Royal prerogative to any person or matt should not be questioned by them. They were assured that in the exercise of the privilege allowed to the Murgi Matt the swami would be required to abide strictly by the existing rules and customs under which one Swami should not pass with music in front of the Mathas of other persuasions X X X ."

File 5-7-02-3.
H-G
Seen and
& put up -.
Allah 17/4/20.

An endorsement to the above effect was given to the Agents of the Mathas and copies thereof were sent to the large numbers of petitioners who had memorialized ed His Highness the Maharaja and the Government."

This has been graciously approved by His Highness the Maharaja under date 9th. April 1908.

The customs referred to by the Dewan is a long accepted one as will be seen from the following extract from the Mahazar dated 11th. Sept. 1837 which was got up in Sivaganga in connection with a dispute between the the Siganga Matha and the Melinagavi Matha at Sivaganga — a mahazar which has been apparently referred to in the report of the Superintendent of Nandydrug Dn. dated 20th. Oct. 1866.

H. G - page 4.
File of Exhibits of
Sivaganga matt
H G
X
Refer
[illegible]

" ಇಸಿ ಸಿದ್ಧಗಳಕ್ಕೆ ತಿರುವಿಸಿದ್ಧರಾದಂತಹವರುಗಳು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತಹ ಸನದುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವಂತೆ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತಹ ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಇವರು ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು X X X "

File 346-15
Fl. Jud C

In December 1915, the Dy. Commissioner of Chitaldrug Dt. (the present Chief Secretary to Govt.) wrote a D. O. to the Government that the Sri Durgi Swami who had then come to Chitaldrug had intended to use certain prohibited insignias.

This was submitted by the Dewan for orders of His Highness the Mahraja and a reply was sent to the Dy. Commissioner requesting him to advise the Swami to abide by the previous orders of Government and that no innovation will be countenanced by Govt.

If therefore the Swami of the Durgi Matt wishes to pass in front of the Sivaganga Matt with all the insignia, the onus lies on him of proving the possession of such right in exception to the accepted general custom to the contrary. No such proof has been advanced.

9 That the main entrance to the Matt is the one facing the south towards the main Petta road - east to west - is patent from the Memorandum and the Mahazar above referred to.

20 The Dy. Commissioner proposes to solve the situation by declaring that the observance of the Mamul respect due from one Matt to another can be enforced against a visiting Swami only when the other Swami is in residence at the place, adding that to his belief the solution was adopted when the Ahobilaswami visited Melkote.

21 The question arose with reference to the visit of Sri Vanamamalai Matt Swami to Melkote in 1913 (and not Ahobila Matt) . Perusing the records of the file it will be seen that the solution proposed was only suggested by the Govt. and no explicit orders to that effect were issued and the matter was finally left to the discretion of the local magistracy.

File 624/1912
HH

22 In this connection, I may submit that

is not so much the residence of the Swami that should considered as the existence of the Peeta, as evidenced by the Samadhis and other sacred installations in the Matt building. These do exist in the Matt building at Sivaganga though the Swami himself is in Bangalore.

16.4.20

12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 635 of 1914

Serial No.

H C File 405/078

The Policeman's note dated 2-4-1908 clearly shows that certain honours were conferred on the Swami of the Mutt in 1907 on the distinct understanding that he should abide strictly by the existing rules and custom, under which one Swami should not pass with music in front of the Mutts of other persuasions. Having acquired the privileges on this condition, it is not open to him to contend that he has a right to go in front of the Mutt of another persuasion with music. As the persons who objected to the grant of honours to the Swami of the Mutt were assured that he would not be permitted to pass with music in front of a Mutt of another persuasion, no persons can now be granted exemption from pursuing ourselves to a charge of breach of faith. The Dy Commr relies upon the decision in the Vanamya Pai Case but it is distinguishable

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mun File No. 63 of 191

Serial No.

H 14.

From the present Case, for
when of the assume that that Deputy appeal Com-
Municipal, which [illegible]
Seems to have left the Matter
to the decision of the Local
Magistrate, though it contains
an observation that it would
not be in keeping with what is due
to Communal Law and to prevent
him from Going with Music in
front of the Parkala Mutt, is a
~~Not~~ decision in the real Sense
of the term.

The points of difference are:
(a) Where it was a Brahmin Sannyasi
and a follower of Ramanuja Charya Swami
to proceed in front of a Mutt
belonging to a Brahmin and also a
follower of Ramanuja Charya,
and here a Lingayat Swami wants
to go in front of a Brahmin Mutt
(b) Melkote is not even the
principal Seat of the Parakalamatha
as I understand the Explanation, but
Ingere, whereas in the present instance
Kanchipuram is the principal Seat of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Revenue File No. of 191

Serial No.

Instead of that name
(c) The Parliamam is
not generally in residence at
Melkote, whereas the Sadaya
Agami Vidwan lives in
Srirangam. Though the present
Swami is for purposes of
training and education living
temporarily in Bangalore
(d) Enquiries show
that there is no Samadhi
of any Swamiji in the Melkote
Mutt, whereas there are
samadhis of about eight
or nine Swamis in the
Srirangam Mutt

Second Member) U/S 16/4/20

65-98-12
16-4-1920

I shall be glad to speak to the
Mysore Secy in the matter. If possible.
I shd like the D.C. also to be present
this afternoon ~~appointment~~ with Smt me
for the purpose.

Reed
17.4.20.

Telephone to DC
at once U/S 17/4/20 U/S 17/4/20.

Matter was discussed
on the evening of the 17th inst
U/S 17/4/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Flx ii
File 57-02-3

To

Ref. is requested for a Shimoga Dy Com's No. 126 of 29. Aug 1907 - wherein he has asked for 78 Spare Copies of the printed Endorsement No 775 of 9th Aug 1907. issued to the Agents of the Sn Ullarade & other matts. for distribn Arranging for assortment & Accts of the Dr -.

This requisition he has sent on receipt of No. 714-20 of 19 Aug 07. from this Office -. A Com: forwarding to all Dy Cs & Palace Comm Officer - A Copy of letter to Dy C: Chitaldrug No 713. of the same date - in regard to the Survey matt: the Bra of which was then in Chitaldrug -

It is enclosed that the printed Endt has been issued to all Dy Cs - also -

The original papers are now hereby handed in the Hands - Draftsman's Dept

Copy of

NOTE—Contn sheet

(Noted)

1907

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 635 of 1919.

Serial No.

A draft is submitted.
Secretary
and Dewan
19/4/20

Rec'd 19/

665-7-71
19-4-1920.

Draft inclosed
It is in accordance with the discussion which I had with the Resident Secy & the D.C. & I have put in the matter on the 17th inst.
The D.C. has now decided to see the Swami himself at his camp near Sivaganga. & invite his attention to the standing order in the matter with a view to avoid all further complications

Rec'd
20.4.20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 20 1920
Reg. No. 7223

I think the reply should be sent
a very short

Secy
22.4.20

For kind perusal before issue
Secretary
24/4/20

Secy
Rec'd
24.4.20

Issue at once
24/4/20

NOTE—Contn sheet.

III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 635 of 1919.

Serial No.	
2.	S. V.O. Bangalore No 3707 dy. 24.4.20. Invites Sepa's reminder No. 7556 dy. 9.8.1907 in the matter of grant of Irrigation facilities to the Inam villages in the Sandur

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2070
19 20
Mur

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. O.C 2744 Rev Sil Dated BANGALORE, 12th April 1920.
1920

M) Imm Secy Govt
2.833

From

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Departmen
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the Swami of the Murgi Matt who is now touring in the Nelamangala Taluk proposes to visit Sivaganga at the invitation of the Sivachar residents of the place. As there is a strong local objection to the Swami going in procession in front of the Matt and Sri Gangadareswara Swami temple both by the Agent of the Matt and the local Brahman residents, I called for a report from the Amildar with the connected papers and the whole correspondence is submitted herewith for the orders of Government.

2. From the sketch in the file, it will be seen that

Sivaganga consists of one important street on which the main entrances of the Matt and the temple open as also the houses of almost all the important Sivachar residents. The Sivachar houses lie mostly to the East of the Matt and between it and the village gate and there is no objection to the Murgi Swami visiting in state all these houses. The house of one Gangadhara Shetty who is the caste Shetty is however flush against the main entrance of the Matt and this house seems to be the main objective of the visiting Swami. The problem is therefore how this may be effected and at the same time the customary respect shown to the Matt protected.

3. I have had a talk with the Agents of both the Sivaganga and Murgi Matts and it seems to be impossible to satisfy both the parties. The Murgi Swami will not omit the Shetty's house from the visiting list nor is he prepared to accept the suggestion made by the Agent of the Sivaganga Matt to ride to the house in Myana which will not be so openly disrespectful. Under the circumstances I beg to solicit ~~for~~ definite instructions of Government in the matter.

4. No doubt it is true that there are previous orders of Government directing that the Swamies of the Melina and Kelagina Gavi Matts of the place should not go in state in front of the temple or the Matt. But these are comparatively insignificant Matts and it is a question whether an order expressly applicable to them should be applied to such an important Matt as the Murgi Matt whose status has been recently recognized by Government as co-ordinate with that of the Sivaganga Matt. If the Murgi Matt is not to be denied the right of visiting the

village or to go in state to the Shetty's house the only solution out of the impasse appears to be to declar that the observance of the mamul respect due from one Matt to another can be enforced against a visiting Swami only when the other Swami is in residence at the place. I believe that this solution was adopted when the Ahobil Matt Swami visited Melkote last.

5. I have written separately to the Agent of the Murgi Matt to await orders of Government in the matter and as it is understood that the Swami will be encamped in the neighbourhood of Sivaganga from to-morrow onwards, it is requested that you will be so good as to obtain the early orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

Section Bmgcai
File No. 63 s—4
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191*

No. 3767 Envs Lies
& Refile

Date of issue 2.11.4.20

J. E. Jayawardena for
Signed by.....

To

The Dep: Govt
Bangalore 8.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter No. O_C 2744 dated the
12th April 1920 regarding the
visit of the Swami of the
Murgi matt to Devanga
Samudeiga to invite representative
to attend the order of Govt. Concern-
ing Inds. No. 753 dated the
9th August 1907 which

over.

Was Communicated
Several Matters & Others who had then personal
& of which a Copy was also
forwarded to the Dy Comr of the Ds.

2. It will be seen from
the Endorsement that when
Certain privileges were confer-d
in 1907 on the Swami of the
Mungi Matt, it was distinctly
~~forcibly~~ understood that
in the Exercise of ~~the~~ privileges, he
~~He~~ should strictly abide by the
existing rules & Customs & the
Which one Swami should
not pass with Music, per thematic
in front of the Matters of
Other Jurisdictions.

3. A printed Copy of
the said Endorsement is sent
enclosed for your ready reference.

4- I am to request
that you will be so good
as to draw the attention

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

of the matter mentioned in this Endorsement.

5. The Enclos. to your letter ~~mentioned~~ are returned herewith with Acknt. of 17th Sept. 1920 presented to this office by the Agent of the Nursi Malt, ~~and herewith returned~~.

I have &c

20.11.20

W.J. 28/4/20
Asst. Secy.
Mus. Dept.

RL
29.4.20